

**Summary of Written Public Comments
Submitted for Development Review Committee Meeting – September 20, 2023**

| <i>Comments Received BEFORE Development Review Committee Agenda Publication</i> | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Public Comment # | Name | Agenda Item | Comment Summary or Full Comment if brief |
| #1 | Michael Hegeman | AR-2 | <p>Email from resident with concerns about the floorplan of a proposed single-story house behind an existing residence.</p> <p>Resident believes the floor plan is laid out poorly and could be suggestive of unlawful uses for the house.</p> |
| #2 | Alan Opel | AR-3 | <p>Email from resident in favor of a proposed second story balcony at the rear of an existing two-story, single-family residence.</p> <p>Resident believes that any addition or change to the current homes in the area is welcome.</p> |

**Summary of Written Public Comments
Submitted for Development Review Committee Meeting – September 20, 2023**

April Kea

From: Michael Hegeman [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, September 09, 2023 9:43 AM
To: planning
Subject: Design Review DR2023-0026 - 733 Valley View Ave.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In what is likely a futile attempt to stop stupidity, I would like to express opposition to the proposed project at 733 Valley View Ave. Does the property desperately need redevelopment? Yes. But is this project the way to do it? No.

From what I can tell from the plans submitted, the proposed layout is one of the worst residential floor plans I've ever seen. One bedroom and bathroom can only be accessed through the living room. Another bathroom is essentially in the kitchen. And the residents of two other bedrooms will need to traverse the family room in order to access a bathroom. Who in their right mind would buy a house with such poor "flow?" In fact, the layout of this house is more suggestive of a "massage parlor" (aka house of prostitution) in that the bedrooms are accessed off of one "central corridor" (i.e., the family room/kitchen/living room) that will allow the johns easy entry without the likelihood of bumping into too many other people. Is that the type of neighborhood we want? I think not and would suggest re-thinking this proposal. Thank you.

Michael Hegeman
[REDACTED]

April Kea

From: Alan Opel [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2023 11:00 AM
To: planning
Subject: DR2023-0027. 619LaurelLane

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am in support of and urge your approval of the referenced project. Any addition or change to these cookie cutter tract homes is welcome. I believe originally, there were 8 of 43 homes of this floor plan and elevation detail. I lived in one of the eight.

Thank you for your consideration.

Alan Opel
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone